Assessment of Radiation Induced DNA Damage in Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes Using COMET Assay
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ABSTRACT- The study was conducted to assess DNA damage in peripheral blood lymphocytes of cancer patients put on radiotherapy, medical workers occupationally exposed to ionizing radiations and control group of normal healthy individuals. The blood samples were collected from 20 cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy in Government Rajindra Hospital, Patiala, 16 medical workers from Radiology and Radiotherapy department of the Government Rajindra hospital, Mata Kaushalya hospital, T.B. hospital, Patiala and 10 normal healthy individuals from Punjabi University, Patiala, India. The DNA damage was evaluated by using alkaline COMET assay, the damage was assessed from two COMET parameters i.e. mean COMET tail length and frequency of cells showing migration. It was found that all the cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy as well as the medical workers, who were occupationally exposed to ionizing radiations for a variable period of time showed DNA damage, whereas none of the control subjects showed any damage. The comparison of DNA damage among the cancer patients and medical workers revealed highly significant differences. On the basis of results obtained, it could be said that the exposure to acute high doses of radiations cause greater DNA damage in comparison to chronic low doses of radiations.
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INTRODUCTION
The maintenance of DNA from one generation to the next is one of the primary goals of our biological systems. Any gross change in its structure, base sequence is referred as DNA damage, which can pose serious biological changes in the body. Detection of DNA damage in individual cells first reported in 1978 [1]. The damage was quantified by measuring the ratio of green fluorescence (indicating double strand DNA) to red fluorescence (indicating single strand DNA). The evaluation of the DNA damage plays an important role in genotoxic studies. The techniques utilized to detect DNA damage in humans have involved cytogenetic evaluation of chromosomal aberrations, sister chromatid exchanges in mitogen stimulated peripheral blood lymphocytes, Micronuclei assay, immuno-assays and single cell gel electrophoresis (COMET assay).

The technique known as SCGE was developed in 1984 with the introduction of micro electrophoresis, which was later modified in 1988 [13]. Single cell gel electrophoresis is one of the most commonly used assays for the population biomonitoring to identify the DNA instability. It is a simple, rapid, visual and sensitive technique to detect DNA single strand breaks, double strand breaks and alkali labile sites/damage. This technique was based on the principle that DNA is negatively charged and when the electric field is applied, it moves towards the anode. Singh modified the technique of electrophoresis originally given by Ostling [2-4]. They replaced the neutral buffer an with alkaline buffer (pH>13) during electrophoresis in order to detect the presence of single strand breaks and alkali labile damage in individual cells. The technique has been used to evaluate both DNA damage and repair in the cancer cell lines, cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy/chemotherapy, occupationally exposed workers etc. Though radiotherapy is a powerful weapon against cancer and has great significance, but the radiations also produce marked toxicity at the cancer sites, ultimately resulting in the DNA dam-
age leading to second cancer. Several studies used the COMET assay to evaluate DNA damage in cancer cell lines in cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy and in workers occupationally exposed to radiations. The majority of such studies have indicated radiation induced DNA damage in various cancer cell lines and in peripheral blood lymphocytes of cancer patients put on radiotherapy [9,10]. An inverse correlation was found between cell survival and COMET tail length [11]. Even when the peripheral blood lymphocytes of healthy individuals were exposed to varying doses of X-rays or γ-radiations, comets were formed, indicating DNA damage which was correlated with the extent of the dose. Higher doses induced greater DNA damage [12]. Work done on DNA repair revealed quick repair of single strand breaks (SSBs) in comparison to double strand breaks (DSBs) [13]. A significant increase in the damage was reported in the workers, who were occupationally exposed to varying doses of radiations [14-18].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects- Duration of the study was one year. The study was conducted on 20 cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy (group A), 16 workers occupationally exposed to radiations (group B) and 10 healthy subjects (group C). Group A subjects were selected from Government Rajindra Hospital, Patiala. Only those patients who had undergone at least 5 cycles of radiotherapy were selected for the study. Group B workers were selected from T.B. Hospital and Government Rajindra Hospital, Patiala, workers working on X-ray machine or handling machines for at least 5 years. All the workers wore individual TLD (Thermoluminescent dosimeter) badges during their work. The TLD badges were replaced after every 3 months and were sent to BARK, Bombay for estimating radiation exposures. The accumulative dosimeter readings of the exposed workers during the year prior to the study were recorded from the hospital records.

Blood Samples- About 0.5 ml of whole blood was collected from fingertip using a sterilized lancet from selected subjects. Blood samples were collected in eppendorf tubes containing one drop of EDTA solution. The tubes were numbered and stored in refrigerator till use.

COMET Assay- The alkaline COMET assay was performed on lymphocytes under alkaline conditions according to Singh in 1988 with slight modifications [19]. An aliquot of 40 µl of whole blood was used to assess the DNA damage. Slides were prepared in duplicates per sample. Slides were covered with normal melting point agarose (NMPA) and dries for further use. For the second layer 25 µl of whole blood was added to 75 µl of 0.5% low melting point agarose (LMPA) and pipetted out on the precoated slides. The slides were covered with coverslips for the proper spreading of the cells. Further the slides were allowed to gel in the 4°C for 30 minutes. The coverslips were removed and third layer of 110 µl of LMPA was pipetted out on the slides and allowed to gel at 4°C for 30 minutes. After third layering, the uncovered slides were immersed in freshly prepared chilled lysing solution and refrigerated for 2 hours. Slides were then placed in the alkaline electrophoretic buffer (E-buffer, pH=13) for 20 minutes to allow unwinding of the DNA. Electrophoresis was conducted for 25 minutes at 25V adjusted to 300 mA by raising or lowering the buffer level in the tank. Slides were then drained, placed on a tray and washed thrice with neutralizing buffer (N-buffer, pH= 7.5). Finally, all the slides were stained with silver nitrate in the presence of dim light so as to minimize artefactual DNA damage, further the slides were dried and viewed under a microscope. Analysis was performed by using 10x and 40x objectives Zeiss microscope.

A total of 100 individual cells was screened per sample (50 cells per slide). An undamaged cell resembles an intact nucleus without a tail and a damaged cell has the appearance of a comet. The length of the DNA migrated in the comet tail, which is an estimate of DNA damage was measured by using an ocular micrometer. Quantification of DNA damage for each cell was calculated as given in the formula:

\[
\text{Comet tail length (µm)} = \frac{\text{Maximum tail length}}{\text{Head diameter}}
\]

**T/N index** = \(\frac{\text{Mean tail length}}{\text{Head diameter}}\)

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The significant differences between the different groups (cancer patients and medical workers/controls), (medical workers/cancer patients) and (Alcoholic cancer patients/Non alcoholic cancer patients) for comet parameters were analyzed by calculating mean, standard deviation and student's t-test.

RESULTS

The detailed history of cancer patients (N=20), medical workers (N=16) and controls (N=10) is shown in Table 1. The DNA damage of 46 subjects (20 radiation induced cancer patients, 16 occupationally radiation exposed medical workers and 10 controls) was evaluated by using the comet assay analysis as shown in Fig. 1. The damage was assessed by considering two comet parameters, i.e., frequency of cells showing migration and mean comet tail length.
Table 1: Medical history of cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>S/NS*</th>
<th>A/NA*</th>
<th>Type of cancer</th>
<th>Amount of radiation (cGy)*</th>
<th>Damaged cell frequency (%)</th>
<th>MTL*(µm)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>64.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>76.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>64.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>59.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>3740</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>63.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>68.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>65.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>67.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Mouth</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>71.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mouth</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>59.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mouth</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>54.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>62.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mouth</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>67.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Oesophagus</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Urinary bladder</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>67.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>74.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>73.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicate (M/F=Male/Female, S/NS=Smoker/Non-smoker, A/NA=Alcoholic/Non-alcoholic, cGy-Gray, MTL= Mean comet tail length, µm-micrometer)
Fig. 1: Depict the (A= controls) undamaged cells, (B= Medical workers) moderate DNA damage and (C= cancer patients) highly damaged DNA.

All the cancer patients and the medical workers showed damage, whereas none of the control subjects showed any damage in the peripheral blood lymphocytes. The frequency of the cells showing migration was 87.6±10.02 v/s 48±11.21 and mean tail length was 66.04±5.71 v/s 39.66±9.31 among cancer patients and medical workers, respectively.

Table 2: DNA damage in cancer patients, medical workers and in controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Total No. of subjects</th>
<th>Subjects showing damage</th>
<th>Frequency of cell migration (Mean±SD)*</th>
<th>MTL(µm) (Mean±SD)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancer patients</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>87.6±10.02</td>
<td>66.04±5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical workers</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48.0±11.21</td>
<td>39.66±9.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>9.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicate SD= Standard deviation (P<0.01)

Comparison of cancer patients with medical workers showed highly significant (P<0.01) differences in both the comet parameters (Table 2). Non-significant differences (P>0.05) were found, when comet variables were compared in relation to variable doses of radiation exposure in cancer patients as shown in Table 3. Life style factors, including age and smoking also revealed non-significant effect on the comet variables, whereas the alcohol intake showed significant effect on the mean comet tail length.
Table 3: DNA damage in relation to the exposure of variable doses of radiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Exposure of variable doses (cGy) Mean±SD</th>
<th>t-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group I 1000-2000</td>
<td>Group II 2000-3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>67.32±4.71</td>
<td>66.35±3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of cells showing migration</td>
<td>82.5±8.19</td>
<td>87.33±12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison was non-significant (P>0.05)

The comet tail length was significantly higher in alcoholic cancer patients (72.49±1.95 v/s 65.33±5.54, P<0.05) compared to non-alcoholic cancer patients (Table 4).

Table 4: DNA damage in relation to age, smoking habit and alcohol consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>MTL (µm)</th>
<th>t-values</th>
<th>Frequency of cells showing migration</th>
<th>t-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50*</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>64.32±4.70</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>84.83±11.26</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>68.63±6.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>91.75±6.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>68.35±5.95</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>87.00±13.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS*</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>65.05±5.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.86±8.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72.49±1.95</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>77.00±21.21</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>65.33±5.54</td>
<td></td>
<td>88.78±8.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicate (S/NS=Smoker/Non-smoker, A/NA=Alcoholic/Non-alcoholic) and values in bold character showed the significant difference (P<0.05)

The type of radiations, duration of radiation exposure and as well as other confounding factors such as age, smoking and alcohol consumption did not reveal any significant effect on the comet variables in the medical workers who were occupationally exposed to ionizing radiations.

DISCUSSION

The comet assay is considered as a well known biomarker for assessing DNA damage due to radiation exposure. Among the other biological parameters that have been used in this area, cytogenetic damage in peripheral blood lymphocytes is known as most promising. It includes chromosomal aberrations, micronucleus test and sister chromatid exchanges. Frequencies of chromosome aberrations and micronuclei are known to be increased among individuals occupationally exposed to ionizing radiations. It is well established fact that ionizing radiations can induce DNA damage directly by depositing energy in the cells or indirectly as a result of free radical formation and oxidative stress. The physiochemical interactions between ionizing radiations and DNA produce a variety of DNA lesions such as damage to nucleotide bases, DNA-DNA and DNA-protein crosslinks and alkali labile sites as well as single strand breaks and double strand breaks. Misrepaired double strand breaks are considered to be the principle lesion of importance in the induction of both, chromosomal aberrations and gene mutations. It is widely accepted that lesions induced by ionizing radiations in DNA can be detected by using alkaline comet assay.

In the present study, the comet assay was used to find out the effect of acute high dose and chronic low dose of ionizing radiations on the peripheral blood lymphocytes. For this purpose, DNA damage was studied in 20 cancer patients put on radiotherapy, 16 medical workers occupationally exposed to X-rays and γ-rays and 10 normal healthy controls. All the cancer patients and medical workers showed DNA damage but the extent of damage...
was significantly higher among the cancer patients. Similar findings have been reported by many earlier studies except one study in which the authors have reported negative results [27]. The comet tail length was surprisingly very low in both, treated (radiotherapy) and untreated cancer patients (ranging between 0.91 to 3.76). The combined data of 20 cancer patients have shown DNA damage in 62% to 100 % cells, with a mean value of 87.6 ±9.76 whereas not even a single cell showing DNA damage was found in control samples. The mean comet tail length (MTL) in cancer patients ranged from 54.46 microns to 76.93 microns. The extensive DNA damage found could be attributed to the radiation therapy, though the possibility of the disease (cancer) itself causing DNA damage cannot be ruled out, as many previous studies have found significantly higher DNA damage in untreated cancer patients compared to controls [25-27]. The frequency of damaged cells showed non-significant increase with a corresponding increase in the level of radiation exposure. Earlier studies, both in vitro and in vivo, have indicated a significant dose dependent increase in the DNA damage [17-18,30]. Response to radiotherapy was quite similar in all the cancer patients irrespective of the fact that these patients were having different types of cancers and were exposed to variable doses of γ-radiations. All the exposed medical workers, showed DNA damage in their peripheral blood lymphocytes and none of the control subjects showed any damage. Frequency of cells showing migration varied from 25 % to 63% and mean comet tail length varied between 23.75% and 55.77 %. An earlier study, conducted on the exposed medical workers [16,31] revealed intra-individual heterogeneity in the level of DNA breakage. No correlation between DNA damage and dose of the radiation was reported.

None of the probable confounding factors such as age, smoking habits, alcohol intake, duration of exposure and type of exposure showed any effect on DNA damage. This is in agreement with previous findings [14,32]. However, some authors [33,34] had reported an association between smoking and increased DNA migration during comet assay.

CONCLUSIONS

In this conclusion, this was a preliminary work to assess the radiation induced DNA damage in human peripheral blood lymphocytes of occupationally exposed medical workers and cancer patients. It was found that medical workers and cancer patients have shown radiation induced DNA damage. But the level of DNA damage was found to be more prominent in cancer patients in comparison to medical workers. The study statistically found to be significant and none of the cell from control samples has shown any kind of DNA damage. The results revealed that radiation may cause extensive DNA damage which could further increase the recurrence risk of having second cancer. The present study may contribute to new insight of implication of less damaging strategies for treatment of cancer, such as precision therapy, which is an approach to cancer care that allows doctors to select treatments that are most likely to help patients based on a genetic understanding of their disease.

REFERENCES


